IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.M.JOSEPH

WEDNESDAY, THE 4TH JULY 2007 / 13TH ASHADHA 1929

WP(C).No. 19890 of 2007(P)

PETITIONER:

G.LEKSHMANAN, MEMBER (DIRECTOR BOARD),
EDAVA SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE BANK NO.3782,
EDAVA P.O., (RESIDING AT PARAPPAVILAKOM, EDAVA).

BY ADV. SRI.M.BALAGOVINDAN
SRI.M.R.SASITH

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES,
OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE
SOCIETY, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. EDAVA SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE BANK NO.3782,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
EDAVA SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE BANK BUILDING,
EDAVA.

3. K.ABDUL RUBB, RACHANA (OTTAPUNNAVILA),
ODAYAM, VARKALA.P.O.

4. K.MOHANAN NAIR, THIRUVATHIRA,
MANTHARA, EDAVA P.O.

5. MOHAMMED JINNAH MECHU VEEDU,
VENKULAM, EDAVA P.O.

6. SUNIL T.NAIR, MUTHEDATHU LEKSHMI
VILASOM, MUKKALAKKAL, VENKULAM,
EDAVA P.O.

7. P.CHANDRA BABU, SASTHANVILA, VENKULAM,
EDAVA P.O.

8. P.SURESH KUMAR, N.B.NIVAS, PARAYIL,
EDAVA.P.O.

9. SHIBU.S., MATHERIVILA, EDAVA P.O.

10. C.A.SAINUDEEN, KOCHUMUTTIYAN VILA,
EDAVA P.O.

11. K.SUGUNAN, SUMANGALAM, KAPPIL, EDAVA P.O

12. KUMARI PUSHPAN, PLAMTHUNDAM, VENKULAM,
EDAVA.P.O.

BY ADV. SRI.C.E.UNNIKRISHNAN
SRI.C.UNNIKRISHNAN
GOVT. PLEADER SMT.ANU SIVARAMAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 04/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K. M. JOSEPH, J.

W.P.C. NO. 19890 OF 2007 P

Dated this the 4th July, 2007

JUDGMENT

Prayer in the Writ Petition is for a direction to the first respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders in Ext.P3 without further delay. Complaint of petitioner essentially, in brief, is that the Society in question is not following the statutory provisions for conducting Annual General Body Meeting and also appointment of staff. Petitioner claims to be the Director of the respondent Bank.

2. I heard Shri M. Balagovindan, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, Shri C.E. Unnikrishnan, learned counsel appearing for second respondent Bank besides Smt. Anu Sivaraman, learned Government Pleader.

3. The Writ Petition is disposed of directing the first respondent to consider and take a decision on Ext.P3 in accordance with law, after hearing the petitioner and the second respondent. A decision shall be taken as aforesaid within a

period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this Judgment. I make it clear that it will be open to the second respondent to contend that Ext.P3 petition is not maintainable before the first respondent in which case, the first respondent will consider the said question also.

K. M. JOSEPH, JUDGE

kbk.